

## Accepted Manuscript

Title: Concentration effect of gold nanoparticles on proliferation of keratinocytes

Authors: Shuangyun Lu, Donglin Xia, Guijuan Huang, Hongxia Jing, Yufei Wang, Haiying Gu<ce:footnote id="fn1"><ce:note-para>Shuangyun Lu and Donglin Xia have contributed equally to this work.</ce:note-para></ce:footnote>



PII: S0927-7765(10)00350-4  
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2010.06.019  
Reference: COLSUB 4121

To appear in: *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*

Received date: 3-2-2010  
Revised date: 25-6-2010  
Accepted date: 25-6-2010

Please cite this article as: S. Lu, D. Xia, G. Huang, H. Jing, Y. Wang, H. Gu, Concentration effect of gold nanoparticles on proliferation of keratinocytes, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* (2008), doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2010.06.019

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Concentration effect of gold nanoparticles on proliferation of keratinocytes

Shuangyun Lu<sup>1</sup>, Donglin Xia<sup>1</sup>, Guijuan Huang, Hongxia Jing, Yufei Wang, Haiying Gu\*

*Institute of Analytical Chemistry for Life Science, School of Public Health, Nantong University,*

*Nantong 226001, China*

## Abstract

"34 nm gold nanoparticles with good stability were synthesized and characterized and their effect (as a function of concentration) on the proliferation of keratinocytes was evaluated by means of MTT and nucleolar organizer region (AgNOR) count (silver staining). The cell morphology was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The results demonstrate that a low concentration of gold nanoparticles enhances the proliferation of keratinocytes. Specifically, a concentration of 5.0 ppm gold nanoparticle has the best effect on the promotion of cell growth. In the experiment group, the AgNOR-positive areas and AgNOR area/nuclear area ratios of keratinocytes co-cultured with 5.0 ppm gold nanoparticles were greater than those in the control group ( $p < 0.01$ ). At a level greater than 10.0 ppm, gold nanoparticles were found to have a cytotoxic effect on keratinocytes. It is concluded that a low concentration of gold nanoparticles may be used as a biomedical material in skin tissue engineering."

**Keywords:** Gold nanoparticles, Proliferation, Keratinocyte, Concentration

---

\*Corresponding author at: Institute of Analytical Chemistry for Life Science, School of Public Health, Nantong University, Nantong 226001, China. Tel.: +86 513 85856859; fax: +86 513 85015417.

*E-mail address:* guhy99@21cn.com, hygu@ntu.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> Shuangyun Lu and Donglin Xia have contributed equally to this work.

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2 Patients with severe burn injuries have a higher mortality rate related to ineffective or  
3 delayed wound coverage [1]. Therefore, it is essential to cover the wound with dressings  
4 immediately. Autografts is the first line treatment for cutaneous deficiency. However, the  
5 limited availability of autologous skin is a major disadvantage. To provide an available  
6 alternative of coverage, various skin substitutes have been developed. Keratinocytes  
7 transplantation and cultured epithelial autografts (CEAs) are definitely major and important  
8 progress [2]. But their major disadvantages include fragile handling characteristics, the  
9 length of time required to expand the donor cells under culture conditions, and limited  
10 ability to expand the cells enough for use in large body burns [3].

11 Nanoscience, which emerged in the twentieth century, is promising to solve these  
12 problems. People found the unique surface properties of nanophase materials, such as a  
13 higher number of atoms at the surface compared to bulk, greater areas of surface defects  
14 and larger proportions of surface electron delocalization [4] could influence cell adhesion  
15 and proliferation. For example, nanophase ceramics can enhance the adhesion of osteoblast  
16 [5-6]. Hydroxyapatite with a particle size of 20nm can promote the proliferation of  
17 osteoblast[7]. PLA/HA nanofiber composites allow the adhesion and proliferation of  
18 pre-osteoblasts[8]. It also found that keratinocyte could adhere fast to the gold  
19 nanoparticles/chitosan film scaffold [9].

20 In the present work, gold nanoparticles of 34nm were synthesized and characterized  
21 with transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and ultraviolet spectrophotometer (UV-vis),  
22 and the research target of this paper is the effect of different concentration of gold

1 nanoparticles on the proliferation of keratinocytes in Keratinocyte serum-free medium.

## 2 **2. Materials and methods**

### 3 *2.1. Materials*

4 Keratinocyte serum-free medium (K-SFM) was obtained from Invitrogen, USA.  
5 Dulbeccos' Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM) was from Gibco, USA. Fetal bovine serum  
6 was from Hyclone, USA. Trypsin was from Amresco, USA. MTT was obtained from  
7 Beyotime Biotechnology, China.  $\text{AuCl}_3 \cdot \text{HCl} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Au>48%) was obtained from the  
8 Shanghai No. 1 Reagent Factory, China. All Other chemicals were of analytical grade.

### 9 *2.2. Preparation and characterization of gold nanoparticles*

10 First, gold nanoparticles were prepared according to the literature [10]. The formation  
11 of gold nanoparticles can be observed by a change in color since gold nanoparticles are red.  
12 Second, the final volume of gold nanoparticles was adjusted with de-ionized water, and the  
13 concentration of gold nanoparticles is 100 ppm. Finally, the diameters of the gold  
14 nanoparticles were measured by transmission electron microscopy (JEM-200CX, JEOL Co.,  
15 Japan) and Ultraviolet spectrophotometer (2450, Shimadzu Co., Japan). In addition, the  
16 particle size was analyzed by software Image-pro plus 6.0.

17 Different concentration media were prepared by adding different volumes of 100 ppm  
18 gold nanoparticles to K-SFM medium.

### 19 *2.3. Isolation and culture of keratinocytes from newborn mice skin*

20 Newborn mice (Sprague Dawley rats, 1 day old) were provided by the Experimental  
21 Animal Center of Nantong University. The research protocol was in compliance with  
22 Chinese guidelines for experimental animals. Fresh newborn mouse skin was obtained

1 according to the literature [11]. The mice were sacrificed and submerged them in 75%  
2 ethanol for 5 min and then were rinsed with 2 changes of sterile PBS without  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  
3  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ . The excess connective tissue was trimmed off and the remaining skin was cut into  
4 4~6 mm wide stripes with scissors and then was placed them on a sterile dish covered with  
5 sterile gauze containing 0.25% trypsin, dermis facing down. After being incubated at 4°C  
6 overnight, epidermis was separated from dermis and placed into DMEM supplemented with  
7 10% fetal bovine serum to stop digestion and then was pipetted vigorously to make single  
8 cell suspension. Subsequently, the cell suspension was filtered through a stainless steel  
9 mesh (200 mesh). The cells were collected by centrifuge at 1000rpm for 5 min, and rinsed  
10 twice with PBS by centrifuge. Finally, keratinocytes were harvested.

#### 11 2.4. Cell proliferation assay

12 Freshly isolated mouse keratinocytes were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 1  
13  $\times 10^4$  cells/well in the presence of 0.0, 1.0 3.0, 5.0, 7.0, 10.0 and 20.0ppm of gold  
14 nanoparticles. The culture medium containing different concentration of gold nanoparticles  
15 was changed every 2 days.

16 The viability of keratinocytes after culture was measured at 6, 24, 48, 96 and 120h by  
17 MTT assay. Briefly, 20 $\mu$ l of 5 mg/ml MTT in PBS was added to the test wells and left for 4  
18 h, and then 150 $\mu$ l dimethyl sulfoxide was added to each well to solubilize formazan dye.  
19 After 15 min, the absorbance was measured at 490 nm in a microplate reader. The  
20 background absorbance was measured in K-SFM solution without the presence of cells.

#### 21 2.5. Silver-staining nucleolar organizer region (AgNOR) staining and image analysis

22 AgNOR histochemical staining was performed according to the literature [12]. In brief,

1 after 4 days' incubation, slides with keratinocytes were fixed in 95% ethanol for 50-60min  
2 and then hydrated in distilled water. The silver staining was freshly prepared by dissolving  
3 2% gelatin in 50% aqueous silver nitrate solution in a ratio of 1:2. Slides were incubated for  
4 60 min at room temperature in the dark with this solution. After being stained, slides were  
5 rinsed with distilled water, dehydrated with graded ethanol, cleared in xylene and  
6 coverslipped.

7 The evaluation procedure consisted of quantification of number and area of the  
8 AgNOR dots per nucleus in the keratinocyte cells. We evaluated 100 cells per-slide. The  
9 dots were counted visually, and their areas were measured with the digital subtraction tool.  
10 The software Image-pro plus 6.0 was used for the analysis according to the manufacturer's  
11 instructions.

## 12 2.6. Morphological study of keratinocytes

13 *Transmission electron microscopy.* After being co-cultured with gold nanoparticles for 4  
14 days, the cells were harvested by scraping and fixed in 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde solution,  
15 and post fixed in aqueous osmium tetroxide. The samples were then dehydrated in a graded  
16 series of ethanol, block stained in uranyl acetate, and embedded in Epon. Ultrathin sections  
17 (100 nm) were contrasted with lead citrate and imaged by TEM (JEM-1230, JEOL Co.,  
18 Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV.

19 *Scanning electron microscopy.* Keratinocytes were washed with PBS and fixed with  
20 2.5% (w/v) glutaraldehyde solution at 4°C for 48h after co-culturing with gold  
21 nanoparticles for 2 days. After being washed with PBS to remove the remaining  
22 glutaraldehyde, the cells were dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol. Then, the cells were

1 further dehydrated with acetone and then treated with isoamyl acetate. After dried by the  
2 critical point dry method, the cells were coated with an ultrathin gold layer and observed  
3 under SEM (S-3400N, Hitachi Co., Japan).

#### 4 *2.7. Statistics*

5 All experiments were performed three times. The results were presented as mean $\pm$ SD  
6 and analyzed using SPSS 13.0. The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for  
7 statistical analysis.  $P < 0.05$  was considered to be statistically significant.

### 8 **3. Results**

#### 9 *3.1. Characterization of gold nanoparticles*

10 It showed a typical TEM image of gold nanoparticles and the histogram of particle size  
11 distribution in Fig. 1. TEM observations (Fig. 1(a)) clearly revealed that the average  
12 diameter of the spherical gold nanoparticles was  $34 \pm 6$  nm and the size distribution of the  
13 gold nanoparticles was narrow.

14 It illustrated the absorbance of K-SFM medium consisting of different concentration  
15 of gold nanoparticles and the dispersion of particles in media in Fig. 2. The gold  
16 nanoparticles were stable and did not precipitate in the medium, which could be confirmed  
17 by the absorption peak position of gold nanoparticles and the TEM image. And as the gold  
18 nanoparticle was wine red, the color of medium was dependent on the concentration of gold  
19 nanoparticles.

#### 20 *3.2. Morphology and viability of keratinocytes*

21 The wall-attached keratinocytes first adhered to the surface of the tissue culture plate  
22 within 24h and then presented an elongated morphology. And the morphology of cells

1 co-cultured with gold nanoparticles was similar to that of the control group. As time went by,  
2 the cells grew to form a monolayer except those of 10.0 and 20.0 ppm groups. The  
3 keratinocytes co-cultured with 10.0 and 20.0 ppm gold nanoparticles became round and small  
4 on day 3 and 2, respectively. They appeared loosely packed, although still attached on the  
5 surface of the culture plate.

6 Viability of keratinocytes was evaluated by MTT assay. As shown in Fig.3, the  
7 keratinocytes co-cultured with gold nanoparticles adhered to the surface faster than the  
8 control group (6h) and the cell co-cultured with a low concentration of gold nanoparticles  
9 presented a high proliferation rate throughout the culture time. Furthermore, cell viability  
10 was significantly higher with 5.0ppm as compared to the other concentration, and the  
11 confluence of keratinocytes with 5.0ppm was 1-2 days earlier than the other groups. But the  
12 keratinocyte co-cultured with 10.0 and 20.0ppm gold nanoparticles proliferated at first, and  
13 then began to be at a standstill.

#### 14 *3.4. AgNORs results*

15 After being stained by a silver impregnation method, the borders of AgNOR and the  
16 nucleus were clearly visible. The nuclei stained pale yellow whereas the AgNOR dots or  
17 aggregates stained dark. As illustrated in Fig. 4, most of the neurons contained one or two  
18 AgNORs in 0.0, 3.0 and 5.0 ppm gold nanoparticles. And a high number of AgNOR proteins  
19 were observed in 5.0 ppm. However, the keratinocyte co-cultured with 10.0 ppm gold  
20 nanoparticles was smaller than the other groups and there were fewer AgNOR dots or  
21 aggregates.

22 The image analysis of AgNORs was detailed in Table 1. The nuclear areas of



1 keratinocyte without the presence of gold nanoparticles were larger than the other groups.  
2 The AgNOR area/nuclear area ratios of keratinocyte co-cultured with 3.0 and 5.0ppm gold  
3 nanoparticles were greater than the control group. And the AgNOR-positive areas and  
4 AgNOR area/nuclear area ratio of keratinocyte cells with 5.0ppm of gold nanoparticles  
5 were significantly greater than the other concentration. When the concentration of gold  
6 nanoparticles reached to 10.0 ppm, the image analysis parameters of AgNOR were  
7 significantly lower than the other groups.

### 8 *3.5. Cell morphology observed by SEM and TEM*

9 The cell morphology was further subjected to SEM observation (Fig. 5). The cells  
10 co-cultured with 3.0 and 5.0 ppm gold particles showed very similar morphology as  
11 compared to that in the control group, where abundant filopodia were observed. By contrast,  
12 the cells co-cultured with 10.0ppm gold particles had less filopodia.

13 In TEM photographs, keratinocytes co-cultured with 3.0 and 5.0ppm gold nanoparticles  
14 displayed slight cytoplasmic changes compared to the control group, such as more vesicles,  
15 and swollen endoplasmic reticulum. More vesicles might be the endosomes or traffic  
16 vesicles, which should be generated during the cell uptake or the later intracellular transport  
17 [13]. And the swollen endoplasmic reticulum may be related to the activity of protein  
18 synthesis.

19 But for keratinocytes co-cultured with 10.0 ppm gold nanoparticles, visible  
20 morphological changes, such as reduced cell size, distorted nucleus and chromatin  
21 condensation, were observed.

22 In addition, we found some nanoparticles residing in the cytoplasm (Fig. 6(e)),

1 organelles (Fig. 6(f)), nuclear envelope (Fig. 6(g)) and even in the nucleus (Fig. 6(h)),  
2 implying that the particles can enter the nucleus.

### 3 **4. Discussion**

4 Gold nanoparticles possess unique properties of nanoparticles such as volume, surface,  
5 macro quantum tunneling effect, optical property, and so on. It has been used not only in  
6 chemistry and physics but also extensively in biomedical field. It can be used as a matrix  
7 and cytochemical label for the immobilization and study of macromolecules and cells  
8 [14-20]. The gold nanospheres are also used for cancer cell imaging [21-22] and cancer  
9 cells detection [23]. And, gold nanoshells and Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite has been  
10 demonstrated to selectively kill cancer cells [24-25]. It was shown previously that  
11 hepatocytes immobilized on 24nm-sized gold nanoparticles could proliferate and maintain  
12 their biological activity well [26].

13 In this study, the effect of different-sized gold nanoparticles (16,24,31,42 and 51nm)  
14 on the proliferation of keratinocytes was investigated. It was found that 34nm-sized gold  
15 group was better than the other groups, but there was no statistically difference. Hence,  
16 34nm gold nanoparticle was used to investigate the effect of different concentration of gold  
17 nanoparticles on the proliferation of keratinocytes in K-SFM medium.

18 The results suggested that a low concentration of gold nanoparticles could stimulate  
19 keratinocytes proliferation. From the SEM, we found that cell adhesion and spreading  
20 co-cultured with low concentration gold nanoparticles followed a similar pattern, compared  
21 to that found on standard tissue culture. In addition, keratinocyte co-cultured with gold  
22 nanoparticles adhered to the surface faster than that without gold nanoparticles, which was

1 consistent with our pervious study [9]. When the concentration exceeded 10.0 ppm, the  
2 keratinocyte exhibited marked changes, including loss of swollen mitochondria and  
3 condensed chromatin. This means that a high concentration of gold nanoparticles is toxic to  
4 keratinocytes.

5 Nucleolar organizer (NOR) is a chromosomal region, in which most of ribosomal  
6 synthesis occurs. It was reported that the amount of AgNORs is related to cell proliferation  
7 activity and the interphase quantitative changes are related to the cell proliferation rate [27].  
8 From the analysis of the AgNORs results, the AgNORs dot or aggregates and AgNOR total  
9 area in 5.0ppm gold nanoparticles was significantly larger than the other groups, indicating  
10 that the cellular protein synthetic activity in 5.0 ppm was stronger than other groups. This  
11 may be due to that the small mount of gold nanoparticles could enter the cell and enhance  
12 DNA synthesis. This was supported by the TEM results: some nanoparticles resided in the  
13 cytoplasm, organelles, nuclera envelope and even in the nucleus. The proliferation and  
14 differentiation of cells may be associated with  $Ca^{2+}$ [7], or phosphorus and sulfur[28].  $Ca^{2+}$   
15 serves as a second messenger for the activation of a broad group of calcium/calmodulin  
16 dependent kinased, and promotes the keratinocytes proliferation and differentiation. But, as  
17 is known, the increase in calcium is not only associated with cell proliferation but also with  
18 apoptosis. Some studies have shown that a sharp increase in  $Ca^{2+}$  is involved in the  
19 apoptosis [29-30]. Therefore, when the gold nanoparticles exceeded 10 ppm, the  
20 cytoviability was significantly decreased.

21 Some literatures have reported that the uptake of the small particles might occur via  
22 endocytosis, chathrin-coated vesicles, caveolae or their independent reporters [31]. In this

1 study, it was found an interesting phenomenon that the gold nanoparticles were embedded  
2 by the invagination of the nuclear membrane (Fig. 6(h)), whereas in another TEM image  
3 gold nanoparticles was found to be located on the nuclear envelope (Fig. 6(g)), implying  
4 that the gold nanoparticles can enter the nucleus through many pathways. But the actual  
5 pathways need further elucidation.

## 6 **5. Conclusion**

7 In this study, gold nanoparticle of 34nm was synthesized and their concentration effect  
8 on keratinocytes was evaluated. The results demonstrate that a low concentration of gold  
9 nanoparticles can enhance the growth of keratinocyte. A concentration of 5.0 ppm gold  
10 nanoparticle was the most effective at promoting cell growth. Therefore, the low  
11 concentration of gold nanoparticles may be used as a biomedical material in skin tissue  
12 engineering.

## 13 **Acknowledgements**

14 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.  
15 20875051, 20675042), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China (No.  
16 BK2009152) and the Social Development Item of Nantong City (No. s2008008).

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 **References**

- 2 [1] J.E. Paddle-Ledinek, D.G. Cruickshank, J.P. Masterton. *Burns* 23(1997)204-211.
- 3 [2] R. Gobet, M. Raghunath, S. Altermatt, C. Meuli-Simmen, M. Benathan, A. Dietl, M. Meuli, *Surgery*  
4 121(1997) 654-661.
- 5 [3] A.B. Wysocki, W. A. Dorsett-Martin, *OR Nurse* 2(2008) 30-38.
- 6 [4] T.J. Webster, in: J.A. Schwarz, C. Contescu, K. Putyera (Eds). *Encyclopedia of nanoscience and*  
7 *nanotechnology*. Taylor & Francis, London, 2004, pp.3079–3095.
- 8 [5] T.J. Webster, C. Ergun, R.H. Doremus, R.W. Siegel, R. Bizios, *Biomaterials* 21(2000) 1803-1810.
- 9 [6] T.J. Webster, C. Ergun, R.H. Doremus, R.W. Siegel, R. Bizios, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* 51(2000)  
10 475-483.
- 11 [7] Z. Shi, X. Huang, Y. Cai, R. Tang, D. Yang, *Acta Biomater.* 5(2009) 338-345.
- 12 [8] S.I. Jeong, E.K. Ko, J.Yum, C.H. Jung, Y.M. Lee, H. Shin, *Macromol. Biosci.* 8(2008) 328-338.
- 13 [9] Y. Zhang, H. He, W.J. Gao, S.Y. Lu, Y. Liu, H.Y. Gu, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C.* 29(2009) 908-912.
- 14 [10] A. Doron, E. Katz, I. Willner, *Langmuir* 11(1995) 1313-1317.
- 15 [11] L. Hakkinen, L. Koivisto, H. Larjava, *Methods Cell Sci.* 23(2001) 189-196.
- 16 [12] D. Ploton, M. Menager, P. Jeannesson, G. Himber, F. Pigeon, J.J. Adnet, *Histochem. J.* 18(1986)  
17 5-14.
- 18 [13] Z.W.Mao, B.Wang, L.Ma, C.Y.Gao, J.C.Shen, *Nanomedicine.* 3(2007)215-223.
- 19 [14] K.C. Grabar, P.C. Smith, M.D. Musick, J.A. Davis, D.G. Walter, M.A. Jackson, A.P. Guthrie, M.J.  
20 Natan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 118(1996) 1148-1153.
- 21 [15] K.R. Brown, A.P. Fox, M.J. Natan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 118(1996) 1154-1157.
- 22 [16] S.Q. Liu, H.X. Ju, *Anal. Biochem.* 307(2002) 110-116.
- 23 [17] O.D. Velev, E.W. Kaler, *Langmuir* 15(1999) 3693-3698.
- 24 [18] H.Y. Gu, A.M. Yu, H.Y. Chen, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 516(2001) 119-126.
- 25 [19] H.Y. Gu, S.Y. Lu, Q.Y. Jiang, C.M. Yu, G.X. Li, H.Y. Chen, *Anal. Lett.* 39(2006) 2849-2859.
- 26 [20] H.Y. Gu, R.X. Sa, S.S. Yuan, H.Y. Chen, A.M. Yu, *Chem. Lett.* 32(2003) 934-935.
- 27 [21] K. Sokolov, M. Follen, J. Aaron, I. Pavlova, A. Malpica, R. Lotan, R. Richards-Kortum, *Cancer Res.*  
28 63(2003) 1999-2004.
- 29 [22] I.H. El-Sayed, X.H. Huang, M.A. El-Sayed, *Nano Lett.* 5(2005) 829-834.
- 30 [23] L. Liu, X.L. Zhu, D.M. Zhang, J.Y. Huang, G.X. Li, *Electrochem Commun.* 9 (2007)2547-2550.
- 31 [24] C. Loo, A. Lowery, N. Halas, J. West, R. Drezek, *Nano Lett.* 5(2005) 709-711.
- 32 [25] L. Liu, P. Miao, Y.Y. Xu, Z.P. Tian, Z.G. Zou, G.X. Li, *Journal of photochemistry and photobiology*  
33 *B: Biology* 98(2010)207-210.
- 34 [26] H.Y. Gu, Z. Chen, R.X. Sa, S.S. Yuan, H.Y. Chen, Y.T. Ding, A.M. Yu, *Biomaterials* 25(2004)  
35 3445-3451.
- 36 [27] M. Derenzini, *Micron* 31(2000)117-120.
- 37 [28] K. Zierold, *Toxicol in Vitro* 14(2000) 557-563.
- 38 [29] D. Kobayashi, S. Ahmed, M. Ishida, S. Kasai, H. Kikuchi, *Toxicology* 258(2009) 25-32.
- 39 [30] C.L. Wang, T.B. Ng, X.H. Cao, Y. Jiang, Z.K. Liu, T.Y. Wen, F. Liu, *Cancer Letters*  
40 276(2009)221-227.
- 41 [31] J.P. Richard, K. Melikov, E. Vives, C. Ramos, B. Verbeure, M.J. Gait, L.V. Chernomordik, B. Lebleu,  
42 *J. Biol. Chem.* 278(2003) 585-590.
- 43

- 1 Caption of figure
- 2 Figure1: Characteristics of gold nanoparticle (a)TEM image, (b)Histogram of particle size distribution.
- 3 Figure2: Characteristics of gold nanoparticle in keratinocyte serum-free medium (K-SFM) media  
4 (a)Absorption spectra of different concentration of gold nanoparticle in the media *Inset*,  
5 absorption spectra of gold nanoparticles, (b) TEM image.
- 6 Figure3: Viability of keratinocytes incubated with different concentration of gold nanoparticle for 6, 24,  
7 48, 72, 96 and 120h. n=6; \*significantly different from 0.0ppm group,  $p<0.05$ . \*\*significantly  
8 different from 0.0ppm group,  $p<0.01$ .
- 9 Figure4: AgNOR straining in nucleoli of keratinocytes cultured with different concentration of gold  
10 nanoparticle. (a)0.0 ppm, (b)3.0 ppm , (c)5.0 ppm, (d)10.0 ppm. Original magnification  $\times 1000$ .
- 11 Figure5: SEM photographs of keratinocyte co-cultured with different concentration of gold nanoparticle  
12 for 48 h (a) 0.0ppm, (b) 3.0ppm, (c)5.0 ppm and (d)10.0 ppm.
- 13 Figure6: TEM photographs of keratinocyte co-cultured with different concentration of gold nanoparticle  
14 for 4 days. (a) 0.0ppm, (b) 3.0ppm,(c)5.0 ppm and (d)10.0 ppm. The photographs in (e-h)  
15 illustrate the distribution of gold nanoparticle inside the cell as indicated by the arrows (e) in  
16 the cytoplasm (f) in the organelle (g) nucleolar envelope (h) in nucleus.
- 17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33